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1. LITERATURE REVIEW <DI\_Főfejezet cím>

<DI\_Bekezdés> Moreover, BOURDIEU (1983) maintained that social capital is the participation of entities in a network as established affiliations that offers a way in specific or prospective assets. Furthermore, COLEMAN (1988) asserted that social capital denotes the features of social structures that simplify activities between parties. At the same time, PUTNAM (1993) recognized social capital as characteristics of the social corporation, for instances, trust, norms of mutuality, and connections of community commitment that made a possible organized achievement, and in sequence, build communities and organizations more efficient (FULKERSON-THOMPSON, 2008).

* 1. <DI\_1. szintű Alfejezet cím>

<DI\_Bekezdés> Description of the main facts of the figure (Figure 1).



Figure 1: The name of the figure <DI\_Ábra cím>

Source: Own research (2020) <DI\_Forrás>

<DI\_Bekezdés> Description of the main facts of the Table (Table 1).

Table 1: The name of the table <DI\_Táblázat cím>

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Értékmérő tulajdonság** | **Mértékegység** | **Mangalica** | **Intenzív sertés** |
| Szaporaság  | (db malac/fialás) | 5-6 | 10-12 |
| Két fialás között eltelt idő  | (nap) | 270-290 | 165-180 |
| Fialás gyakorisága  | (fialás/év) | 1,3-1,6 | 2,1-2,2 |
| Takarmányértékesítő képesség  | (kg/kg) | 4,5-5,5 | 3-3,5 |
| Fehéráru-arány  | (%) | 50-65 | 25-30 |
| Vágási súly  | (kg) | 130-150 | 100-110 |

Source: SZABÓ et al., 2013; PÁSZTHY, 2007 <DI\_Forrás>

<DI\_Bekezdés> Those ICT companies invested types of development in supporting future business growth in the last three years, such as:

* <DI\_Felsorolás>
* Introduction, development, expansion, and other similar things related to an integrated Enterprise Resource Planning system (ERP).
* Introduction and development of a Fleet Management System (FMS).
* Introduction and development of technologies supporting warehouse activities, for example, a barcode system, Radio Frequency Identification (RFID).,
	+ 1. <DI\_2. szintű Alfejezet cím>

<DI\_Bekezdés> Regarding the previous manuscripts, this study revealed some indicators of inter-organizational trust as follow:

1. <DI\_Számozott lista>
2. Trust in business partners, from the RESEARCH OF GAUR ET AL. (2011), BIEN ET AL. (2014), LU ET AL. (2017), BALBONI ET AL. (2018), and OLÁH ET AL. (2019B).
3. Trust in customers and clients, from studies of LAAN ET AL. (2011), and JEAN ET AL. (2014).
4. Trust in suppliers and subcontractors, from findings of WEI ET AL. (2012) and CAO ET AL. (2017).
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